United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,037	03/17/2005	Erich Fuderer	566/42763	4656	
23646 BADNES & T	7590 09/20/2007 HORNBURG LLP		EXAMINER		
750-17TH ST			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-4675		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3683		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/510,037	FUDERER ET AL.	•			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
	•		Christopher P. Schwartz	3683				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHE - Extensio after SIX - If NO per - Failure to	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- riod for reply is specified above, the maximum si to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months to be set or extended period for reply the contract of the contra	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.1366 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT (a). In no event, however, may a reply b apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f ause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this co DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>18 Jul</i> y	, 2007					
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 July 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
<i>'</i> =		—		prosecution as to the	e merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·			,				
Disposition of Claims (A) Solid (a) 2.20 in large panding in the application								
· ·	4) Claim(s) 2-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	laim(s) <u>2-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	laim(s) is/are objected to.							
	laim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirement					
•	•		olootion roquirolmont.		•			
Application	•							
·	e specification is objected to by the							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	oplicant may not request that any obje			• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
u, ////								
					M X V			
Attachment(s)			•		M Mar.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	o(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:		A Seiner Steinister			

Application/Control Number: 10/510,037

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/18/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 20,2-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 20, upon further review, applicant's claim on line 3 "<u>a wear</u> adjuster having a helical gear ..." "a threaded spindle and a nut which can be screwed thereto as <u>screw connection parts</u>".

They then claim that one screw connection part is driven to rotate for the wear adjusting; and that another screw connection part of the helical gear being drven to rotate for an emergency and/or auxiliary release of the brake.

Not only is it unclear whether the screw connection parts claimed on line 4 are the same ones claimed on lines 5 and 7 but how both of them can be associated with the wear adjusting portion at 10,14. According to the specification there is a separate screw connection part for the emergency/auxiliary release of the brake (see area 112) that is separate and distinct from the "wear adjuster".

It therefor becomes unclear what "screw connection parts" applicant's intend to claim.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 19 "the unlockable free wheel" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,037

Art Unit: 3683

7. Claims 20,2,3,13,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blosch.

Regarding claim 20, subject to the 112 rejection above, Blosch discloses a brake application system for vehicles comprising a wear adjuster 48,50 having a helical gear (see the abstract) and a spindle 56 and a nut 60 as "screw connection parts".

One screw connection part (nut 60) being driven for the wear adjusting and another screw connection part (spindle 56) capable of being driven for emergence or auxiliary release of the brake, as broadly claimed. See col. 6 lines 3-6.

Regarding claim 2-3,13,18, as can be seen from the drawings these requirements are met.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/510,037

Art Unit: 3683

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 4-12,14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blosch et al. in view of Gordon et al.

Regarding claim 20 Blosch et al. is relied upon as above, but lacks a showing of the clutch in the actuation mechanism of the brake.

Gordon is relied upon to provide this well known alternative mechanism in the area of elements 62,66,69.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have substituted (adapted) this actuating device for the one of Blosch et al. at 56,60 since such a modification would merely amount to the substitution of one well known type of actuating mechanism for another dependent upon the particular application for the brake.

11. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blosch et al. in view of Akamatsu et al.

Regarding claim 19 Akamatsu et al. shows a free wheel spring clutch arrangement at 16 or 30,31. Note the two motors at 11 and 19 (which controls the function of the spring). Such a motor/gear/wear adjuster arrangement could be substituted for the same arrangement in a device as Blosch et al., as the replacement of one well known actuating mechanism for another.

Art Unit: 3683

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 7/18/07 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rob Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher P. Schwartz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

cps